Ē

\_

Version 6.3.beta5	Sage Notebook

Alain inding a gem by computer exploration:	
Factorization properties of Young's natural idempotents	
Let's build one of the Young natural idempotents for the Symmetric group \$S_9\$	
W = SymmetricGroup(9)	
I = Partition([3,3,2,1]) J = Composition([2,3,4])	
<pre>tI = StandardTableaux(I).last() tJ = Tableau([[6,7,8,9], [3,4,5], [1,2]]) tIc = Tableau([[3,6,8,9],[2,5,7],[1,4]])</pre>	
muI = W([1,4,2,5,7,3,6,8,9])	
It's indexed by a pair of standard tableaux, which we show here, in French notation of course:	
Tableaux.global_options(convention="French") t1.pp() print tJ.pp()	
9 7 8 4 5 6 1 2 3	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	
The idempotent is the usual product of two pieces, a sum across a row stabilizer, and an alternating sum across a column stabilizer.	
squareI	
$B + B_{(7,8)} + B_{(5,6)} + B_{(5,6)(7,8)} + B_{(4,5)} + B_{(4,5)(7,8)} + B_{(4,5,6)(7,8)} + B_{(4,6,5)} + B_{(4,6,5)(7,8)} + B_{(4,6)(7,8)} + B_{(2,3)} + B_{(2,3)(7,8)} + B_{(2,3)(5,6)} + B_{(2,3)(5,6)(7,8)} + B_{(2,3)(4,5)} + B_{(2,3)(4,5)}$	
<pre>idempotent = nablaJ * A.monomial(muI) * squareI len(idempotent)</pre>	
20736	
So Alain went onto a quest for a compact representation of this object that would be amenable to scrutiny and hand manipulation.	
The first step, quite natural, was to represent permutations by their Lehmer code. The second step, typical of Alain, was to encode each such code as an exponent vector. This makes the idempotent into a huge multivariate polynomial.	
<pre>P = QQ["x1,x1,x2,x3,x4,x5,x6,x7,x8,x9"] x = muI(P.gens()) def to_monomial(sigma):     code = Permutation(sigma).to_lehmer_code()     return prod( xi^ci for xi,ci in zip[x,code) ) to_polynomial = A.module_morphism(to_monomial, codomain=P)</pre>	
<pre>p = to_polynomial(idempotent)</pre>	
Here are its first 20 terms:	
<pre>sum(p.monomials()[:20])</pre>	
$x_{1}^{5}x_{1}^{5}x_{2}^{3}x_{3}^{2}x_{4}^{3}x_{5}^{2}x_{6}x_{7} + x_{1}^{5}x_{1}^{5}x_{2}^{3}x_{4}^{2}x_{5}^{2}x_{6} + x_{1}^{5}x_{1}^{5}x_{2}^{3}x_{3}^{2}x_{4}^{3}x_{5}^{2}x_{6}x_{7} + x_{1}^{5}x_{1}^{5}x_{2}^{3}x_{3}^{2}x_{4}^{2}x_{5}^{2}x_{6}x_{7} + x_{1}^{5}x_{1}^{5}x_{2}^{3}x_{3}x_{4}^{3}x_{5}^{2}x_{6}x_{7} + x_{1}^{5}x_{1}^{5}x_{2}^{3}x_{3}^{2}x_{4}^{3}x_{5}^{2}x_{6}x_{7} + x_{1}^{5}x_{1}^{5}x_{2}^{3}x_{2}^{3}x_{4}^{3}x_{5}^{2}x_{6}^{2}x_{7} + x_{1}^{5}x_{1}^{5}x_{2}^{3}x_{2}^{3}x_{4}^{3}x_{5}^{2}x_{6}^{2}x_{7} + x_{1}^{5}x_{1}^{5}x_{2}^{3}x_{2}^{3}x_{4}^{3}x_{5}^{2}x_{6}^{2}x_{7} + x_{1}^{5}x_{1}^{5}x_{2}^{3}x_{2}^{3}x_{4}^{3}x_{5}^{2}x_{6}^{2}x_{7} + x_{1}^{5}x_{1}^{5}x_{2}^{3}x_{2}^{3}x_{4}^{3}x_{5}^{2}x_{6}^{2}x_{7} + x_{1}^{5}x_{1}^{5}x_{2}^{3$	
So far, so good. But the gain is not that obvious.	
Vet Alain tried to actually factor that polynomial and here is the gene that came out-	
actor(p)	
$(x_{7}-1)\cdot(x_{6}+1)\cdot(x_{4}+1)\cdot(x_{2}-1)\cdot(x_{1}+1)\cdot(x_{5}^{2}-x_{5}+1)\cdot(x_{3}^{2}+x_{3}+1)\cdot(x_{2}^{2}+1)\cdot(x_{1}^{2}+x_{1}+1)\cdot(-x_{1}^{3}+x_{3}^{2})\cdot(-x_{1}^{4}x_{4}^{2}+x_{1}^{2}x_{4}^{3}+x_{1}^{4}x_{6}-x_{4}^{2}x_{6}^{2}+x_{4}x_{6}^{2})$	
Reference: Young's natural idempotents as polynomials, Alain Lascoux, Annals of Combinatorics 1, 1997, 91-98	